ECON 282, Professor Hogendorn

Assignment 5

The data for this assignment is on the website. It is data I generated. There are 98 x variables in the dataset which are all normal random variables with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. There is also a key variable – don't forget to remove it when you run regressions. Finally there is a y variable, which was generated by the following function

 $y = -X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + 2 \times X2^{2} + X5^{2} + 2 \times X1 \times X3 + 2 \times X4 \times X5$

Thus there are 5 variables that actually matter for y, and in two cases the square matters as well. There are also two interaction terms. Five of the other variables have high correlations with *X*1 through *X*5, but they don't actually have any causal effect.

To make things challenging, I have renamed all the variables, so you have no way to know if, for example, the *X*4 in the dataset is the original *X*4 in the equation above.

- 1. Divide the dataset into a 70% training sample and a 30% testing sample. Save the training and testing data.
- 2. Use ctree to determine what makes *y* likely to be greater or less than the mean of *y*. Look at the tree and write down any interaction effects that seem to appear.
- 3. Add those interaction terms to your training data, and then use LASSO to see which coefficients seem to determine the *y* variable.
- 4. Run an OLS regression using the results of LASSO. If some of the LASSO-selected variables have high p-values, that could be because of those 5 correlated variables I added. You could try re-

moving the variables one at a time to see if you can improve your regression model.

- 5. Now visualize the residuals from your regression as a function of each of the remaining *x* variables. See any nonlinearities? If so, you may have found one of the variables that has a nonlinear effect. Add squared terms to see.
- 6. Run your final regression on your training data, and then give it a try on the testing data to see how well it really works.