ECON 321, Professor Hogendorn

Problem Set 3

- *Chocolate.* Two firms supply cacao at a wholesale market in Trinidad. Firm 1 has always had lower costs than firm 2, reflected by constant marginal costs c₁ < c₂. Market demand for cocoa is p = a - Q, where Q = q₁ + q₂.
 - (a) If these firms behaved like perfect competitors, what would be each firm's output, market price, and market quantity?
 - (b) Now suppose the two firms behave as Cournot competitors. What is the Cournot equilibrium quantity produced by both firms, the market price, and the equilibrium profit of firm 1?
 - (c) If firm 1 could move first, followed by firm 2, what would be the Stackelberg equilibrium quantity produced by both firms, the market price, and the equilibrium profit of firm 1?
- 2. *AccBert.* Consider a two-stage game with 2 firms. In Stage 1, firm 1 can buy a machine at fixed cost 0.5. The machine lowers its marginal cost to 0. Alternatively, firm 1 can not buy the machine, in which case its marginal cost is 1.

In stage 2 of the game, the two firms compete à la differentiated Bertrand with the demand system used in the review problem BertrandCollusion (see below). This system is:

$$q_1 = 1 - 0.3p_1 + 0.1p_2$$
 $q_2 = 1 - 0.3p_2 + 0.1p_1$

Firm 2 has marginal cost of 1 no matter what.

(a) What are the stage 2 reaction functions and profit outcome if firm 1 does not buy the machine? (This is easy, it's the same answer as part (a) of the BertrandCollusion problem, but make sure you understand.)

- (b) What are the period 2 reaction functions and profit outcome if firm 1 does buy the machine?
- (c) What is the stage 1 equilibrium: firm 1 does buy or does not buy the machine?

Review problems:

3. *BertrandCollusion*. There are two firms which are differentiated Bertrand competitors. They have demand curves:

$$q_1 = 1 - 0.3p_1 + 0.1p_2$$
 $q_2 = 1 - 0.3p_2 + 0.1p_1$

The firms have identical, constant marginal costs of \$1 per unit.

- (a) What is the differentiated Bertrand equilibrium profit?
- (b) If the two firms colluded, what would be the profit of each firm? (You can confine attention to actions that set the prices equal since the firms are symmetric.)
- (c) If one firm cheated on this collusive agreement, what profit would it make?
- (d) Suppose the game were repeated. For what discount rates could the firms sustain a tacitly collusive trigger strategy equilibrium?
- 4. Shy6.8.3. Consider a 3-firm version of the Stackelberg game. Assume that market inverse demand is given by p = 120 − Q, and suppose that there are three firms that set their output sequentially: firm 1 sets q₁ in period 1, firm 2 sets q₂ in period 2, and firm 3 sets q₃ in period 3. Then, firms sell their output and collect their profits.Solve for the sequential-moves (i.e. Stackelberg) equilibrium. Make sure that you solve for the output level of each firm, and for the market price.

Answers to review problems:

- 3. BertrandCollusion_a.
 - (a) Firm 1's profit maximization problem is:

$$\max_{p_1} \pi_1(p_1, p_2) = (p_1 - 1)(1 - 0.3p_1 + 0.1p_2)$$

Its first order condition is:

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial p_1} = 1.3 - 0.6p_1 + 0.1p_2 = 0$$

Solving for p_1 and exploiting the symmetry of the problem, we can get the reaction functions for firms 1 and 2:

$$p_1(p_2) = \frac{1.3 + 0.1p_2}{0.6}$$
 $p_2(p_1) = \frac{1.3 + 0.1p_1}{0.6}$

When we set these equal and solve simultaneously, the Nash equilibrium is $p_1^* = p_2^* = 2.6$. The corresponding quantities are

 $q_1(2.6, 2.6) = q_2(2.6, 2.6) = 0.48$. and the profits are $\pi_1(2.6, 2.6) = \pi_2(2.6, 2.6) = 0.768$.

(b) The problem now is to choose one collusive price p^c that maximizes the combined profits of the firms:

$$\max_{p^{c}} 2\pi(p^{c}, p^{c}) = 2(p^{c} - 1)(1 - 0.3p^{c} + 0.1p^{c})$$

The first order condition is

$$\frac{\partial 2\pi}{\partial p^c} = 2.4 - 0.8p^c = 0 \Rightarrow p^c = 3$$

At this price, both firms produce quantities of 0.8 and make profits of 0.8.

(c) In this case, firm 1 knows that firm 2 will play by the rules and choose p₂ = 3. For firm 1 finds its best response using the curves we derived in (a), and gets

$$p_I(3) = \frac{1.3 + 0.1 \cdot 3}{0.6} = 2.67$$

And at this price it earns profit $\pi(2.67, 3) = 0.835$.

(d) The firms can sustain a trigger strategy equilibrium as long as the endlessly repeated payoff to cooperating is greater than the cheating payoff plus the endlessly repeated Nash game. Letting β be the discount rate, this requires that:

$$\frac{0.8}{1-\beta} \ge 0.835 + \beta \frac{0.768}{1-\beta}$$

This works for any β greater than 0.52.

4. *Shy6.8.3_a.* We have to work backwards, starting with stage 3. At that point, *q*₁ and *q*₂ are given, and firm 3 maximizes:

$$\max_{q_3} \pi_3 = (120 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3)q_3$$

This gives first order condition

$$\frac{\partial \pi_3}{\partial q_3} = 120 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3 - q_3 = 0$$

and reaction function

$$q_3(q_1, q_2) = 60 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 - \frac{1}{2}q_2$$

In Stage 2, firm 2 maximizes its profits, expecting firm 3 to behave as we just derived:

$$\max_{q_2} \pi_2 = (120 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3(q_1, q_2))q_3 = (60 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 - \frac{1}{2}q_2)q_2$$

This gives first order condition

$$\frac{\partial \pi_2}{\partial q_2} = 60 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 - \frac{1}{2}q_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_2 = 0$$

and reaction function

$$q_2(q_1) = 60 - \frac{1}{2}q_1$$

In Stage 1, firm 1 knows that firm 2 will play as above. It also knows that firm 3 will go on to react according to

$$q_3(q_1, q_2(q_1)) = 60 - 0.5q_1 - 30 + 0.25q_1 = 30 - 0.25q_1$$

Thus, firm 1 maximizes

$$\max_{q_2} \pi_2 = (120 - q_1 - (60 - 0.5q_1) - (30 - 0.25q_1))q_1 = (30 - 0.25q_1)q_1$$

This gives first order condition

$$\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial q_1} = 30 - 0.25q_1 - 0.25q_1 = 0$$

and optimal Stackelberg leader quantity

$$q_1 = 60$$

Plugging this leader quantity back into the other reaction functions, we see that the other two firms produce

$$q_2 = 30$$
 $q_3 = 15$

The total market quantity is thus 105, and the market price is p = 120 - 105 = 15.